Referees Report for ✕SETP Cockpit paper

Paper title:	
Corresponding Author(s)	
Reviewer	
Version Number	
Date Reviewed: *(Date implies signature)*	

Score the answer that best fits your response to the statement. Keep in mind that anything that is not in agreement should be accompanied with notes, as that allows the Editor to better understand your position and provide productive feedback to the author.

Immediate issues checklist

A. This paper provides technical information relevant to flight tests, lessons learned and/or safety and is in the scope of Cockpit Magazine.
1 - Agree. 2 - Somewhat Agree. This paper provides some information that is useful, however some falls out of the technical article realm and could be fixed with editing or published in RefleXions. 3 - Disagree 4 - Other. See notes below.
Notes:
B. This paper is the appropriate length to cover all of the reference material and fit within a magazine amongst other submissions
1 - Agree. 2 - Somewhat Agree. This paper is close but needs some minor changes to be complete in length and scope. 3 - Disagree 4 - Other. See notes below.

Notes:

C. This paper has an introduction and title that apply to the content being discussed.

1 - Agree.

2 - Somewhat Agree.

3 - Disagree

4 - Other. See notes below.

D. The quality of the written english at a level that allows for clear understanding and interpretation by the reader.

1 - Agree.

2 - Somewhat Agree.

3 - Disagree

4 - Other. See notes below.

Notes:

E. Any unusual or non-standard flight test techniques described and appropriately referenced.

1 - Agree.

2 - Somewhat Agree.

3 - Disagree

4 - Other. See notes below.

Notes:

F. Results and conclusions well described, supported by the previous information and complete.

1 - Agree.

2 - Somewhat Agree.

3 - Disagree

4 - Other. See notes below.

G. Presented figures and data are appropriately labeled, and readable.
1 - Agree.
2 - Somewhat Agree.
3 - Disagree
4 - Other. See notes below.
Notes:
H. References are adequate, and use the appropriate formatting and citation methods.
1 - Agree.
2 - Somewhat Agree.
3 - Disagree
4 - Other. See notes below.
Notes:
I. Conclusions, Recommendations and Lessons Learned are well described and follow a logical sequence.
1 - Agree.
2 - Somewhat Agree.
3 - Disagree
4 - Other. See notes below.
Notes:

Detailed Comments (will be seen by author(s))		
Item	Page / para reference	Comments for author(s)

Confidential notes for editor and subsequent referees (will not be seen by author(s))

In conclusion

I consider this paper:	Unlikely ever to be fit for publication / Needing major revisions / Needing minor revisions / Fit for immediate publication
I would / would not be prepared to referee a revised version of this paper	I do / do not believe that this paper should be considered for the Salmon Award (best Cockpit paper of the year).

Feedback on the Referee Report: